

~~SECRET~~

(CLASSIFICATION)

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

## EXECUTIVE MEMORANDUM

## OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

EXECUTIVE MEMORANDUM No. 122DATE 10 February 1964TO:ROOM NO.

Deputy Director (Plans)

Deputy Director (Intelligence)

~~Deputy Director (Science & Technology)~~~~Deputy Director (Support)~~~~Comptroller~~~~Inspector General~~~~General Counsel~~

Assistant Director for National Estimates

~~CIA SIGINT Officer~~DCIDDCIC/NE

Mr. Knoche:drm

Distribtn:

7 - As above

(1) - ExDir

1 - ER

This memorandum contains information for the addressees. Addressees may give this memorandum additional circulation within their components as required. All copies should be destroyed, not filed, upon completion of circulation. A master file will be kept in the Executive Director's Office and will be available upon request.

~~SECRET~~

(CLASSIFICATION)

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

***BEST COPY***  
***AVAILABLE***

**SECRET**

64-1012

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTONSECRET

February 8, 1964

NATIONAL SECURITY ACTION MEMORANDUM NO. 279

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF STATE  
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

SUBJECT: Military Assistance to India and Pakistan

I have no objection to going forward with exploratory approaches looking toward possible five year MAP programs for India and Pakistan under the conditions described in the Secretary of State's 16 January memorandum to me.

However, I do not believe that we should yet discuss MAP levels with either country. Until we have a clearer idea of the prospects for the FY 1965 aid program, it seems to me premature to indicate to India or Pakistan how much military aid they might be able to count upon, regardless of how tentatively we put it. Instead, we should indicate to both governments that they should prepare austere minimum five year programs. Then, as their plans mature and as we get a better reading on Congressional attitudes, we can make a final decision on what MAP to provide.

Furthermore, in the course of these discussions I desire that the following precautions be observed:

1. We should make clear to both countries what we expect of them in return for prospective long-term military aid. As to India, we particularly want it to hold foreign exchange diversions from development to defense to a reasonable level, lest we end up indirectly helping finance an excessive defense effort via aid which we provide for quite another purpose.

50X1

2. In the case of Pakistan, our MAP help should be appropriately linked to satisfactory performance with respect to its alliance obligations

50X1

SECRET**SECRET**

**SECRET**

SECRET

THE WHITE HOUSE


WASHINGTON

Page Two.

3. Both governments must be made to understand that no irrevocable five year MAP commitments can be undertaken by the US, both because aid levels each year will depend on Congress and because our actual aid each year will depend on continuing Pakistani and Indian performance.

4. Our approaches to India and Pakistan should be timed for optimum impact. For example, I do not believe that we should initially approach Pakistan until we have assessed the results of the Chou En-lai visit.

With these caveats, I approve proceeding along the lines of the Secretary of State's 16 January proposals.



cc: The Administrator, AID  
The Director of Central Intelligence

**SECRET**